Case 2:09-cv-01185-KJM-CKD Document 57-5 Filed 10/25/13 Page 2 of 4

1	Alan Gura (Calif. Bar No. 178,221)	
2	Gura & Possessky, PLCC 101 N. Columbus St. Suite 405	
3	Alexandria VA, 22314	
4	703.835.9085/Fax 703.997.7665	
5	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (Calif. Bar No. 179986)	
6	Law Office of Donald Kilmer, A.P.C. 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150	
7	San Jose, CA 95125 408.364.84889/Fax 408.264.8487	
8	408.304.84883/1.42 408.204.8487	
9	Jason A. Davis (Calif. Bar No. 224250) Davis & Associates	
10	27201 Puerta Real, Suite 300	
11	Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Tel 949.436.GUNS/Fax 949.288.6894	
12	IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
13	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		
15		
16	IVAN PENA, ROY VARGAS, DONA CROSTON, BRETT THOMAS, SECOND	Case No: 2:09-CV-01185-KJM-CMK
17	AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC.	PLAINTIFF ROY VARGAS'S
18	AND THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.	RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT STEPHEN LINDLEY'S FIRST SET OF
19		REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS
20	Plaintiffs, vs.	
21	STEPHEN LINDLEY,	
22	Defendant.	
23		
24		
47		
25	,	
	,	

TO THE DEFENDANT HEREIN AND TO ITS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 1 PLAINTIFFS, by their attorney of record, hereby respond to the Request for Admissions 2 3 served on them, by Defendant, as follows: 4 RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION 5 6 REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1 7 Admit that you own at least one operable handgun that is suitable for self-defense. 8 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1 9 OBJECTION: Plaintiff objects on grounds that the request does not seek information 10 that is either relevant or calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence. 11 RESPONSE: Without waiving the aforementioned objections, Plaintiff responds: I admit that I have at least one fully functional handgun, as defined in Penal Code section 16640, which 12 13 may be suitable for self-defense purposes in certain circumstances, but may not be suitable for 14 self-defense purposes in other circumstances. 15 16 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2** 17 Admit that you are able to purchase an operable handgun that is suitable for self-defense. 18 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2 19 OBJECTION: This request is so vague or ambiguous as to be burdensome or oppressive 20 as to the meaning of "suitable for self-defense." Moreover, Plaintiff would have to speculate as 21 to the meaning of the phrase "suitable for self-defense." Firearms are tools. While one firearm 22 may be suitable for self-defense in one scenario, it may not be suitable for self-defense in another 23 scenario. As such, the term "suitable for self-defense" is too vague and ambiguous to properly 24 respond to. 25 RESPONSE: Without waiving the aforementioned objections, Plaintiff responds: 26 Admit. 27 111

28

1///

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3

Admit that you are able to obtain a Glock 21SF with an ambidextrous magazine release through a private-party transfer under California Penal code section 32210(a).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3

OBJECTION: This request is so vague or ambiguous as to be burdensome or oppressive as to the meaning of "able to obtain." Does this term, in the context of the request, mean physically able, financially able, legally able, or logistically able? Moreover, Plaintiff would have to speculate as to the meaning of the phrase "able to obtain."

OBJECTION: This request seeks an admission pertaining to statements of law. Such matters are outside the scope of information permitted by the applicable court rules governing Requests for Admissions.

RESPONSE: Subject to the foregoing objections without waiving same, Denied. Plaintiff is unaware of any private parties with a California Driver License, California Identification Card or Military identification card who possess such a firearm in an unaltered factory manufactured condition. Nor is Plaintiff aware of any private parties with a California Driver License, California Identification Card or Military identification card who are willing to sell said firearm in an unaltered factory manufactured condition. And, such a firearm is not on the list of handguns approved for a California licensed dealer direct sale in California.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

Date: December 1, 2012

21

22

23

24 25

26

28

27

WITH RESPECT TO OBJECTIONS ONLY

Respectfully submitted,

Davis & Associates

Jasón A. Davis

Jason@CalGunLawyers.com

Attorneys for plaintiffs