| 2 | Alan Gura (Calif. Bar No. 178,221) Gura & Possessky, PLCC 101 N. Columbus St. Suite 405 Alexandria VA, 22314 703.835.9085/Fax 703.997.7665 | |
|----|--|--|
| 5 | Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (Calif. Bar No. 179986) Law Office of Donald Kilmer, A.P.C. | |
| 6 | 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 | |
| 7 | San Jose, CA 95125 408.364.84889/Fax 408.264.8487 | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Jason A. Davis (Calif. Bar No. 224250) Davis & Associates | |
| 10 | 27201 Puerta Real, Suite 300 | |
| 11 | Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Tel 949.436.GUNS/Fax 949.288.6894 | |
| 12 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 13 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | A DE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRAC |
| 16 | IVAN PENA, ROY VARGAS, DONA CROSTON, BRETT THOMAS, SECOND | Case No: 2:09-CV-01185-KJM-CMK |
| 17 | AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC. | PLAINTIFF IVAN PENA'S RESPONSE |
| 18 | AND THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC. | TO DEFENDANT STEPHEN LINDLEY'S FIRST SET OF |
| 19 | Plaintiffs, | REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS |
| 20 | VS. | |
| 21 | STEPHEN LINDLEY, | |
| 22 | Defendant. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| | | |
| 28 | | |

Page 1

IVAN PENA'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

(2:09-cv-01185-kjm-ckd)

TO THE DEFENDANT HEREIN AND TO ITS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 1 PLAINTIFFS, by their attorney of record, hereby respond to the Request for Admissions 2 served on them, by Defendant, as follows: 3 4 RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION 5 REOUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1 6 Admit that you own at least one operable handgun that is suitable for self-defense. 7 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1 8 OBJECTION: Plaintiff objects on grounds that the request does not seek information 9 that is either relevant or calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence. 10 RESPONSE: Without waiving the aforementioned objections, Plaintiff responds: I admit 11 that I have at least one fully functional handgun, as defined in Penal Code section 16640, which 12 may be suitable for self-defense purposes in certain circumstances, but may not be suitable for 13 self-defense purposes in other circumstances. 14 15 16 REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2 Admit that you are able to purchase an operable handgun that is suitable for self-defense. 17 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2 18 OBJECTION: This request is so vague or ambiguous as to be burdensome or oppressive 19 as to the meaning of "suitable for self-defense." Moreover, Plaintiff would have to speculate as 20 to the meaning of the phrase "suitable for self-defense." Firearms are tools. While one firearm 21 may be suitable for self-defense in one scenario, it may not be suitable for self-defense in another 22 scenario. As such, the term "suitable for self-defense" is too vague and ambiguous to properly 23 24 respond to. RESPONSE: Without waiving the aforementioned objections, Plaintiff responds: 25 26 Admit. 27 ///

28

111

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3

Admit that you are able to obtain a Para USA (Para Ordinance) P1345SR/Stainless Steel .45 ACP 4.25" through a private-party transfer under California Penal Code section 32210(a).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3

OBJECTION: This request is so vague or ambiguous as to be burdensome or oppressive as to the meaning of "able to obtain." Does this term, in the context of the request, mean physically able, financially able, legally able, or logistically able? Moreover, Plaintiff would have to speculate as to the meaning of the phrase "able to obtain."

OBJECTION: This request seeks an admission pertaining to statements of law. Such matters are outside the scope of information permitted by the applicable court rules governing Requests for Admissions.

RESPONSE: Subject to the foregoing objections without waiving same, Denied.

Plaintiff is unaware of any private parties with a California Driver License, California

Identification Card or Military identification card who possess such a firearm in an unaltered factory manufactured condition. Nor is Plaintiff aware of any private parties with a California Driver License, California Identification Card or Military identification card who are willing to sell said firearm in an unaltered factory manufactured condition. And, such a firearm is not on the list of handguns approved for a California licensed dealer direct sale in California.

Date: December <u>//,</u> 2012

WITH RESPECT TO OBJECTIONS ONLY Respectfully submitted,

Davis & Associates

Jason A. Davis

Jason@CalGunLawyers.com

Attorneys for plaintiffs