1	Alan Gura (CBN: 178221)	Anthony R. Hakl (CBN: 197335)		
2	Gura & Possessky, PLLC	Deputy Attorney General		
2	101 N. Columbus St., Suite 405	Government Law Section, Dept. Of Justice		
3	Alexandria, VA 22314	1300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814		
	703.835.9085/Fax 703.997.7665	916.322-9041/Fax 916.324-8835		
4				
5	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (CBN: 179986)			
5	Law Offices of Donald Kilmer, A.P.C.			
6	1645 Willow Street, Suite 150			
	San Jose, CA 95125			
7	408.264.8489/Fax 408.264.8487			
8	Jacob A. Davis (CDN: 224250)			
0	Jason A. Davis (CBN: 224250) Davis & Associates			
9	27201 Puerta Real, Suite 300			
	Mission Viejo, CA 92691			
0	949.310.0817/Fax 949.288.6894			
1	747.510.0017/1 dx 747.200.0074			
1	IN THE UNITE	D STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
2				
3	Ivan Peña, et al.,	) Case No. 2:09-CV-01185-KJM		
4		)		
	Plaintiffs,	) STIPULATION AND ORDER		
5		) RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT		
	v.	) RESET DATES FOR DISPOSITIVE		
6		) MOTIONS		
7	Stephen Lindley,	)		
		)		
8	Defendant.	)		
0		_ )		
9				
20				
	771 (* 1 141 1 1			
21	The parties, by and through undersigned counsel stipulate to the following developments:			
22	1 This case was emissionally filed Applil 20, 2000. At that time the miles at any income in the miles at a mil			
	1. This case was originally filed April 30, 2009. At that time the micro-stamping requirement			
23	of the California Unsafe Handgun Law was not operative, as the California Attorney			
	of the Camornia Onsaic Handgun Law was not operative, as the Camornia Attorney			
24	General's Office had not, as required, certified that micro-stamping technology was			
25	General's Office had not, as required, certified that infero-stamping technology was			
	unencumbered by patents. It was not known whether and when such certification would be			
26	parameter to the state of parameter to was n	The state of the s		
	provided. Disputing micro-stamping	ng, specifically, would have been unripe.		
27				
28				

Stipulation & Order 1 Peña v Lindley

- 2. Through no fault of the parties, the case has seen significant and unforeseeable delays, being repeatedly stayed for years owing to the protracted litigation of *Nordyke* v. *King*, 681 F.3d 1041 (9th Cir. 2012).
- On May 17, 2013, California's Attorney General announced that the patent issues relating to microstamping were resolved, and that this provision of California's handgun roster was immediately effective. *See* http://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/firearms/infobuls/2013-BOF-03.pdf
- 4. The parties agree that if the Amended Complaint could not be read to reach the microstamping requirement, complete relief could not be obtained on all of Plaintiffs' claims, as the microstamping requirement would independently bar the rostering of three of the four handguns subject in this litigation.
- Plaintiffs believe that the current Amended Complaint, as written, encompasses the entire California Unsafe Handgun Act. However, Defendant disagrees, and Plaintiffs are not opposed to amending the complaint to more specifically address the microstamping development. Additionally, the parties agree that amending the complaint would assist the Court in that an amended complaint would update the statutory citations to the Penal Code, which has been recodified in the intervening years since the filing of the present complaint.
- 6. The parties jointly request new case management orders for the purpose of:
  - a. Filing of an amended complaint by the plaintiffs.
  - b. Filing of an amended answer or other response by the defendants.
  - c. An accelerated schedule for limited factual discovery.
  - d. Setting a new date for dispositive motions.

## SO STIPULATED ON May 23, 2013,

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorney for Defendant

Stipulation & Order 2 Peña v Lindley

2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Gura & Possessky, PLLC  101 N. Columbus St., Suite 405  Alexandria, VA 22314  703.835.9085/Fax 703.997.7665  Jason A. Davis (Calif. Bar No. 224250)  Davis & Associates  27281 Las Ramblas, Suite 200  Mission Viejo, CA 92691  949.310.0817/Fax 949.288.6894	eputy Attorney General eovernment Law Section, Dept. Of Justice 300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 16.322-9041/Fax 916.324-8835 -Mail: anthony.hakl@doj.ca.gov  y: /Anthony Hakl Anthony Hakl Attorney for Defendant			
	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (Calif. Bar No. 179986) Law Offices of Donald Kilmer, A.P.C.				
9	1645 Willow Street, Suite 150				
10	San Jose, CA 95125 408.264.8489/Fax 408.264.8487				
11	E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com				
12	by. Aboliate E.S. Killier, 31.				
13	Donald E. J. Kilmer, Jr.  Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
14	_				
15	5				
16	ORDER ORDER				
17	Good cause being shown, the Court makes the fo	ollowing orders:			
18 19	1. The dispositive motions deadline of June 28, 2013 is vacated.				
20	2. Plaintiffs shall have until June 4, 2013 to file an amended complaint by stipulation, that is				
21	limited to adding claims relating to the micro-stamping provision of their challenge to the				
22	California Unsafe Handgun Act, or if no stipula	tion is reached, to move for leave to file an			
23	amended complaint.				
24	3. Defendants shall respond to the second amended	complaint within 20 days of its filing.			
25 26	4. Discovery is reopened, limited to issues related to	to micro-stamping, through October 4, 2013,			
27	including the time to have discovery motions he	ard.			
28	8				

Stipulation & Order 3 Peña v Lindley

1	5.	Dispositive motions shall be heard no later than November 22, 2013, but may be set for		
2		earlier hearing in accordance with the	e local rules by agreement of both parties.	
3		SO ORDERED.		
4		Date:		
5			The Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller United States District Court Judge	
6			United States District Court Judge	
7				
8				
9				
0				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
17				
8				
9				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Stipulation & Order 4 Peña v Lindley